

23/01544/FUL

WARD: COPNOR

82 CHICHESTER ROAD PORTSMOUTH PO2 0AH

CHANGE OF USE FROM A CLASS C3 DWELLINGHOUSE TO A 7-BED/7-PERSON HOUSE IN MULTIPLE OCCUPATION.

[23/01544/FUL | Change of use from C3 dwellinghouse to a 7-bedroom/7-person House in Multiple Occupation | 82 Chichester Road Portsmouth PO2 0AH](#)

Application Submitted By:

Mrs Carianne Wells
Applecore PDM Ltd

On behalf of:

Mr Pittmann

RDD: 11.12.2023

LDD: 06.02.2024

1.0 SUMMARY OF MAIN ISSUES

1.1 This application is brought before Planning Committee due to a call-in request from Cllr Fazackarley, Cllr Swann & 12 neighbour objections.

1.2 The main issues for consideration in the determination of the application are considered to be as follows:

- The principle of development;
- Standard of accommodation;
- Relevant planning history providing fallback position
- Parking;
- Waste;
- Amenity impacts upon neighbouring residents;
- Impact upon the Solent Protection Areas; and
- Any other raised matters.

2.0 SITE AND SURROUNDINGS

2.1 The application site comprises of a two-storey mid-terraced dwelling located to the southern side of Chichester Road as shown in **Figure 1** below. The property is set back from the highway by a small front garden and a fair-sized rear garden.

2.2 The surrounding area is predominantly residential in character with similar terraced properties. The application site falls within a residential area characterised by rows of two-storey terraced properties.



Figure 1 Location plan

3.0 THE PROPOSAL

3.1 Planning permission is sought for the change of use from dwellinghouse (Class C3) to House in Multiple Occupation for seven people. All bedrooms would be for single occupancy.

3.2 The proposed internal accommodation, as shown in **Figure 2** below, comprises the following:

- Ground Floor - Two bedrooms (both with ensuite shower, toilet and handbasin), communal Kitchen/Dining room, and a shared WC with handbasin.
- First Floor - Three bedrooms (all with a shower, toilet and handbasin ensuite)
- Second Floor - Two bedrooms (both with a shower, toilet and handbasin ensuite).

3.3 The Applicant has stated that works to extend the property will be undertaken under permitted development (without the need to apply for planning permission). These works include a single storey side/rear extension, a rear dormer and rooflights to the

front roofslope; these works are not included in the application. They should not be considered as part of the application.




Figure 2 Proposed Floor Plans & Elevations

4.0 PLANNING HISTORY

4.1 There is no relevant planning history

5.0 POLICY CONTEXT

5.1 Portsmouth Plan (2012)

5.2 In addition to the aims and objectives of the National Planning Policy Framework (2023), due weight has been given to the relevant policies within the Portsmouth Plan (2012), which include:

- PCS17 (Transport)
- PCS20 (Houses in Multiple Occupation)
- PCS23 (Design and Conservation).

5.3 Other Guidance

5.4 Guidance relevant to the assessment of this application includes:

- National Planning Practice Guidance (updated in 2023)
- The Parking Standards and Transport Assessments Supplementary Planning Document (2014)
- The Solent Recreation Mitigation Strategy (2017)
- The Updated Interim Nutrient Neutral Mitigation Strategy (2022)
- The Houses in Multiple Occupation (HMOs) Supplementary Planning Document (2019) ('the HMO SPD').

6.0 CONSULTATIONS

6.1 Private Sector Housing - Based on the layout and sizes provided with this application this property would require to be licenced under Part 2, Housing Act 2004.

6.2 Highways Engineer - No objection. Chichester Road is a residential road with bus stops and amenities in close proximity on London Road. Given the additional of up to five bedrooms, there is therefore the potential for increased instances of residents driving around the area hunting for a parking space, however this an issue of residential amenity for you to consider in your determination of the application. It is not considered that size of development would lead to a material impact to the function of the highway.

The proposal does indicate secure cycle storage spaces within the rear garden and therefore this storage should be implemented prior to occupation of the new residential units.

7.0 REPRESENTATIONS

7.1 Fourteen objections been received in response to the application including one from Cllr Darren Sanders, and one from Cllr Benedict Swann.

7.2 The above representations of objection have raised the following concerns:

- a) Increase in noise and disturbance;
- b) Increase in crime and anti-social behaviour;
- c) Lack of car parking provision leading to an increase in traffic and exacerbation of existing on-street parking problems;
- d) Undue strain on local services and infrastructure, including the sewage, drainage, waste management and Doctors/Dentists
- e) Concerns about impact on community and loss of family dwelling
- f) Extension will block light and limit privacy through overlooking to neighbouring property.
- g) Loss of property value
- h) Inaccuracy of HMO data

8.0 COMMENT

8.1 The main determining issues for this application relate to the following:

- The principle of Development;
- The standard of accommodation;
- Impact upon amenity neighbouring residents;
- Parking;
- Waste;
- Impact upon the Solent Protection Areas; and
- Any other raised matters

8.2 Principle of development

Five year Housing Land supply.

8.3 The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) states that planning decisions should be based on a presumption in favour of sustainable development (paragraph 11). That presumption does not apply where the project is likely to have a significant effect on a 'habitats site' (including Special Protection Areas) unless an appropriate assessment has concluded otherwise (paragraph 182). Where a local planning authority cannot demonstrate a five year housing land supply of deliverable sites, the NPPF deems the adopted policies to be out of date and states that permission should be granted for development unless:

- I. the application of policies in the Framework that protect areas or assets of particular importance provides a clear reason for refusing the development proposed, or
- II. any adverse impacts of doing so would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, when assessed against the policies in the Framework taken as a whole.

8.4 Currently, the Council can demonstrate 3.31 years supply of housing land. The starting point for determination of this application is therefore the fact that the authority cannot demonstrate a five year supply of housing. This development would provide greater occupation of the building, so make a small, additional contribution towards the City's housing needs, at a sustainable location in the city, with good public transport, retail and services, employment, leisure, health facilities, etc. These factors weigh in favour of the proposed development. The further, specific impacts of the proposal must still be considered as to whether the development is appropriate in detail, as set out below.


HMO Policy

8.5 Permission is sought for the use of the property as a Sui Generis HMO for 7 persons. The property is currently considered to have a lawful use as a self-contained dwelling (Class C3).

8.6 Policy PCS20 of the Portsmouth Plan states that applications for change of use to a HMO will only be permitted where the community is not already imbalanced by a concentration of such uses, or where the development would not create an imbalance. The adopted Houses in Multiple Occupation SPD (as amended October 2019), sets out how Policy PCS20 will be implemented and details how the City

Council will apply this policy to all planning applications for HMO uses. The SPD states that a community will be considered to be imbalanced where more than 10% of residential properties within the area surrounding the application site (within a 50m radius) are already in HMO use.

- 8.7 Based on information held by the City Council, of the 54 properties within a 50 metre radius of the application site, 2 property has been identified as an HMO in lawful use. Therefore, the existing number of HMOs equates to 3.7% of the properties within the search area. The addition of the application property would result in one additional property being an HMO within the 50m radius (5.6%) which falls below the 10% threshold limit above which an area is considered to be imbalanced.
- 8.8 In addition to the HMO plan as shown below, a full check of planning history of properties within the 50m radius has also been undertaken, tallying with the data in the HMO map with no additional HMOs found and therefore no inaccuracies have been identified with the data as collated.



- 8.9 A further policy strand introduced in July 2018, amended in October 2019, seeks to ensure that the amenity and standard of living environment of neighbours and local occupiers is protected. This is explained within Appendix 6 of the HMO SPD, which references the specific proximity of HMOs to adjacent dwellings and how these circumstances may give rise to a particular risk of harm to amenity and disturbance. These are where: the granting of the application would result in three or more HMOs adjacent to each other, or where the granting of the application would result in any residential property being 'sandwiched' between two HMOs. There is no conflict caused by this proposal with this guidance.

- 8.10 Having regard to the above, the proposal would comply with the aims and objectives of Policies PCS19 and PCS20 of the Portsmouth Plan (2012).
- 8.11 Standard of accommodation
- 8.12 The application seeks Sui Generis HMO use for 7 persons and proposes the following room sizes as measure by the planning officer, as shown in **Table 1** below.

Room	Area Provided	Required Standard
Bedroom 1 second floor (Single use)	14.85m ²	10.00m ²
Bedroom 2 second floor (Single use)	12.7 m ²	10.00m ²
Bedroom 3 first floor (Single use)	13.9 m ²	10.00m ²
Bedroom 4 first floor (Single use)	13.2 m ²	10.00m ²
Bedroom 5 first floor (Single use)	13.7 m ²	10.00m ²
Bedroom 6 ground floor (Single use)	11.4 m ²	10.00m ²
Bedroom 7 ground floor (Single use)	14.2 m ²	10.00m ²
Shared WC (Ground Floor)	1.55 m ²	1.17m ²
Communal Kitchen/Dining area (ground floor)	25.25 m ²	22.5m ² (because all bedrooms exceed 10m ²)
Ensuite bathroom for bedroom 1	2.75m ²	2.74m ²
Ensuite bathroom for bedroom 2	2.9m ²	2.74m ²
Ensuite bathroom for bedroom 3	2.85m ²	2.74m ²
Ensuite bathroom for bedroom 4	2.86m ²	2.74m ²
Ensuite bathroom for bedroom 5	2.96m ²	2.74m ²
Ensuite bathroom for bedroom 6	2.77m ²	2.74m ²
Ensuite bathroom for bedroom 7	2.77m ²	2.74m ²
Tanks/Laundry Room (ground floor)	3m ²	N/A - additional/not required

Table 1 - HMO SPD (Oct 2019) compliance

- 8.13 As is shown in the table above, the proposal results in an internal layout that meets the Council's adopted space standards in accordance with your HMO SPD. This states at para 2.6, that more detailed guidance, beyond these headline requirements should be referred to within the Council's standards for Houses in Multiple Occupation Guidance (September 2018). This more detailed guidance applies lower minimum requirements (of 22.5m²) for combined living accommodation in circumstances where all bedrooms are at least 10m² and the accommodation is otherwise acceptable as communal space. On the basis of the information supplied with the application this detailed guidance is considered applicable and the resulting layout is considered to result in a satisfactory standard of living environment - submitted proposed floor plans show a sufficient amount of space within the communal kitchen/dining area to accommodate communal dining/lounge space.
- 8.14 All habitable rooms have good access to natural light and in addition, a rear garden also provides external amenity space for the residents.
- 8.15 All the 7 bedrooms would have ensuite facilities, with an additional shared WC on the ground floor providing acceptable sanitary facilities for occupants and visitors. The accommodation therefore would provide a suitable overall arrangement of sanitary facilities.

8.16 Impact on neighbouring living conditions

- 8.17 In terms of the impact on the living conditions of the adjoining occupiers, it is considered that the level of activity that could be associated with the use of any individual property as a dwellinghouse in Class C3, would be unlikely to be significantly different from the occupation of the property by up to 7 unrelated persons as an HMO.
- 8.18 The HMO SPD is supported by an assessment of the need for, and supply of, shared housing in Portsmouth and of the impacts of high concentrations of HMOs on local communities. Paragraphs 9.1-9.10 discuss the negative impacts of HMO concentrations on local communities and points to the cumulative environmental effects of HMO concentrations. However, given that there is not an over-concentration of HMOs within the surrounding area, it is considered that the impact of one HMO would not be significantly harmful.
- 8.19 All alterations to facilitate additional living accommodation are to be undertaken using permitted development, which the property benefits from. The side/rear extension measures 1.75m in additional width. Historic maps have been checked, and it appears the rear addition was existing in 1947 and therefore the half width is applicable only to the extended part, and not the total cumulative width. Any objections in regards to overlooking or loss of light from the rear extension and dormer would not be material planning considerations as these works can be carried out without the need for planning permission.
- 8.20 Having regard to this material consideration, it is considered there would not be a significant impact on residential amenity from the proposal.

8.21 Highways/Parking

- 8.22 The City Council's Parking Standards SPD sets the level of off-road parking facilities for new developments within the city and places a requirement of 2 off-road spaces for Sui Generis HMOs with four or more bedrooms. It should be noted that the expected level of parking demand for a Class C3 dwellinghouse with five bedrooms is also 2 off-road spaces. The proposal has no off-street parking, which is no change from the current use.
- 8.23 As explained above, neither the Highways Officer nor Planning Officer highlights an issue with the scheme on the grounds of a lack of off-street parking. As the SPD requirement for parking is not different for the proposal than a similarly sized Class C3 dwellinghouse or C4 HMO (2 spaces), it is considered that refusal on a lack of parking is not reasonable or defensible. There is no objection on either highway safety grounds and therefore refusal could not be sustained on appeal. It should be noted that the property could be occupied by a large family and/or with adult children, each potentially owning a separate vehicle, or even more than 1 vehicle each. In addition, it is considered that the site is within an area of good accessibility and located within an acceptable walking distance of the various amenities and services, and bus routes.

- 8.24 The Council's Adopted Parking Standards set out a requirement for C4 HMOs to provide space for the storage of at least 4 bicycles. The property has a rear garden where a proposed secure cycle storage is shown to be located - it is acknowledged that access to the cycle storage can only be achieved through the house given that there is no rear access to the garden. The requirement for cycle storage is recommended to be secured by condition.
- 8.25 Waste
- 8.26 The storage of refuse and recyclable materials would remain unchanged, to be accommodated in the suitable front forecourt area. An objection on waste grounds would not form a sustainable reason for refusal and it is not considered necessary to require details of formalised waste storage.
- 8.28 Other matters raised in objections
- 8.29 Loss of property value is not a material planning consideration and cannot be given weight in the decision-making process.
- 8.30 Impact on Special Protection Areas
- 8.31 As there is a measurable increase in occupancy from 2.4 persons (for a C3 dwelling) to 7 persons, mitigation for increased Nitrate and Phosphate Output into the Solent and Recreational Disturbance to the SPA is required. This can be secured through a s111 agreement.
- 8.32 Human Rights and the Public Sector Equality Duty ("PSED")
- 8.33 The Council is required by the Human Rights Act 1998 to act in a way that is compatible with the European Convention on Human Rights. Virtually all planning applications engage the right to the enjoyment of property and the right to a fair hearing. Indeed, many applications engage the right to respect for private and family life where residential property is affected. Other convention rights may also be engaged. It is important to note that many convention rights are qualified rights, meaning that they are not absolute rights and must be balanced against competing interests as permitted by law. This report seeks such a balance.
- 8.34 Under section 149 of the Equality Act 2010, the Council must have due regard to the need to eliminate discrimination, harassment, or victimisation of persons by reason of their protected characteristics. Further the Council must advance equality of opportunity and foster good relation between those who share a relevant protected characteristic and those who do not. The protected characteristics are age, disability, gender reassignment, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, sex and sexual orientation. Having had due regard to the public sector equality duty as it applies to those with protected characteristics in the context of this application, it is not considered that the officer's recommendation would breach the Council's obligations under the Equality Act 2010.

9.0 CONCLUSION

- 9.1 Having regard to all material planning considerations it is concluded that the proposed change of use is acceptable and would be in accordance with the relevant policies of the Portsmouth Plan (2012) and the objectives of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) (2021).

RECOMMENDATION Conditional Permission

RECOMMENDATION I - That delegated authority be granted to the Assistant Director of Planning & Economic Growth to Grant Conditional Permission subject to:

- (a) Receipt of no objection from Natural England;
- (b) satisfactory completion of a Legal Agreement necessary to secure the mitigation of the impact of the proposed residential development on Solent Special Protection Areas (recreational disturbance and nitrates) by securing the payment of a financial contribution. and conditions (below)

RECOMMENDATION II - That delegated authority be granted to the Assistant Director of Planning & Economic Growth to add/amend conditions where necessary.

RECOMMENDATION III - That delegated authority be granted to the Assistant Director of Planning & Economic Growth to refuse planning permission if a Legal Agreement has not been satisfactorily completed within three months of the date of this resolution.

CONDITIONS

Time Limit:

- 1) The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of 3 years from the date of this planning permission.

Reason: To comply with Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990

Approved Plans:

- 2) Unless otherwise agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority, the permission hereby granted shall be carried out in accordance with the following approved drawings:

- Location Plan TQRQM23300111349611
- Sui Gen Plan - Dwg No. PG. 8170 · 23 · 4

Reason: To ensure the development is implemented in accordance with the permission granted.

Cycle Storage:

- 3) Prior to first occupation of the property as a House in Multiple Occupation, secure and weatherproof bicycle storage facilities for 4 bicycles shall be provided at the site and shall thereafter be retained for the parking of bicycles at all times.

Reason: To ensure that adequate provision is made for cyclists using the premises in accordance with policies PCS17 and PCS23 of the Portsmouth Plan.

Water Efficiency

- 4) The proposal hereby permitted shall not (unless otherwise agreed in writing with the LPA) be occupied until written documentary evidence has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority demonstrating that the development has achieved a maximum water use of 110 litres per person per day as defined in paragraph 36(2)b of the Building Regulations (2010) (as amended). Such evidence shall be in the form of a post construction water efficiency calculator.
Reason: To ensure that the development complies with PCS15 of the Portsmouth Plan and does not exceed the scope of Nitrate Mitigation Credits purchased.

PD Works

- 5) Prior to the occupation of the property as a HMO for 7 persons, the single storey side/rear extension and rear dormer proposed to be constructed under permitted development allowances shall be completed.

Reason: In order to ensure that the property meets the required space standards and therefore provides a good standard of living in accordance with Policy PCS23 of the Portsmouth Plan.

Informative

- a) 2 x 360 litre bins are required for a 7 bed HMO. The applicant will need to purchase these bins directly from Portsmouth City Council Waste Management prior to the tenants moving in.
- b) Please be aware that an HMO license may be required. HMO licenses are assessed against new standards that may differ to those used in the Planning process and you are therefore advised to check the licensing requirements and standards prior to occupation. For more information, and to find out about our landlord accreditation scheme please contact the City Council's Private Sector Housing Team using the details below: Email: housing.privatesector@portsmouthcc.gov.uk Postal address: Private Sector Housing, Portsmouth City Council, Civic offices, Guildhall Square, Portsmouth, PO1 2AZ. Phone Number: 023 9284 1659